

Kantemiroğlu

mahur

darbeyn

151

H1

Exactly equivalent in the original notation to the bar-line divisions of the transcription is a red dot. The use of this particular device may relate to the need to make clear the innovative rhythmic structure, for whereas *darbeyn* normally consists of the full *devr-i kebir* (14 : 8) and *berefsan* cycles (16 : 8), here only a half of each cycle is used, the first half (presumably) of *devr-i kebir*, and the second of *berefsan* (the first may be excluded on the ground of the lack of any melodic-rhythmic congruence). It may be noted that the resulting 15 time unit rhythmic pattern has a punning (and disconcerting) resemblance to *devr-i kebir*, from which it differs only by the dislocating addition of a single *dian* stroke (occupying the first time unit of the second of the two paired half cycles).

M 8: 7-8: presumably these last three notes would be replaced at the end of the piece by prolongation of the previous G, the normal finalis in *mahur*.

H2

3) Popescu-Judez. 10 (324-6). 1 = A . Time signature 60 : 8.

H1 E: 1 GA G, 2: 1 AG ($\text{F} \text{ F#}$).

M 3: 7 c.

H2 E: 3 $\text{f} \text{ f#}$ g G , 2: 1 abd, 2 bd, 6 dcf ($\text{F} \text{ F#}$).

Z 6: 6 c ed ($\text{F} \text{ F#}$), 7 d c ($\text{F} \text{ F#}$).