



- 1) H1: 27-8: d may be preferable to c, as it occurs both in the parallel passage later in H1 and also in the 'Ali Ufki version.
- 2) 'Alī Ufķī 119v/229. 1 = J. The piece is assigned to the mode rast.

H1: 2 d, 6 G, 27-8 d, 35-52: 69-70 A, 73 f(# ?or 1), 74 e (these last two also occurring at the end of the other sections).





2) M: 22 c, 29-42: [[[[] [] [] 53-8: [] [] 82 cd.



Z: 2 d, 4 c, 16 A, 18 d, 20 c.



1) H3: 63-4, 67: the original here has \supset (i.e. \supset (=A) with a superscript dot). We may assume either that the notes in question are indeed A, in which case the function of the dot remains obscure; or that the dots are a subsequently added indication/correction pointing towards a reading of the notes as Gt (O); or that the note was originally intended as Gt, but that scribal inertia caused retention of the previously occurring shape, \supset . That the last supposition is the most convincing may be demonstrated by reference to 34 H1, where the same \supset shape occurs after \supset , while in parallel passages in later hanes the proper shape becomes increasingly clear. The 'Ali Ufki version gives A in the first case and $G(t \circ t)$ in the second, the latter certainly seeming more convincing melodically. (Despite the earlier prominence of G, which would assign this modulation section to nikriz, the finalis before the return to the main mode is clearly the following A, suggesting, albeit briefly, a transition to hicaz, where the leading note effect of Gt would by no means be out of place (it will, indeed, later serve as the principal distinguishing feature of the variant zirgüleli hicaz) - cf. the identical final phrase, with Gt, in 94 H3.)



3) Original notation reproduced in Schbal, 69, 417 (with 3) rather than 3 (or v).